Data-Driven Management of Quiz Questions: How Learning Analytics Help

Lynn Ellwood University of Toronto, Department of Speech-Language Pathology

Instructional Challenge

- In a case-based clinical analysis course (hybrid design) for MHSc students in Speech-Language Pathology, weekly online quiz questions assess knowledge of current leading evidence and its application to clinical case studies.
- How can Learning Analytics (LA) data be used to evaluate the quality of quiz questions?
- What protocol can be developed to effectively monitor quiz question quality as new questions are added to the question bank?
- How efficient will an annual evaluation process be?

Exploration Strategy

- Learned about Multiple Choice Question (MCQ) analysis (literature such as Muhaissen et al., 2019)
- Explored Quercus Analytics quiz data availability
- Reflected on LA data for quizzes from 2020
- Updated quiz questions for 2021 based on LA data
- Developed protocol for efficiently reviewing weekly quiz question performance

Results: An evaluation protocol

Attempts: 60 out of 60

Mrs. S.'s case is complex and multi-faceted. Based on the case information, your review of the VFSS, and the findings of Carnaby and Harenberg (2013), which of the following statements will most likely apply to her long term outcome?

Her long term outcome is limited because treatment did not address the physiologic abnormality

Her long term outcome is unlikely to include a return to a total oral diet. Her long term outcome is limited due to an undiagnosed cognitive impairment. Her long term outcome will include a total oral diet with special preparation

Figure 1. Example of Quercus Quiz Statistics – Quiz Summary – Question Breakdown, annotated

Step 1 – How difficult is the quiz question? That is, what percentage of students answer the question correctly? Known as the **Difficulty Index**. Knowledge questions usually score higher than applied questions. Below 30% is "very difficult"; above 80% is "very easy". **Step 2** – Do most students who do well on the quiz answer the question correctly? Check the **Discrimination Index**. Below a score of .25, revise or discard the question. A score of .25 or better is acceptable (but consider revising), a score of .40 or better is excellent.

Step 3 – Were the incorrect answers plausible (good distractors)? Consider the percentage of students who picked each distractor. Known as the **Distractor Index**: Look for a fairly even spread - 5% or more is fine. If below 5%, then the distractor is non-functional. **Step 4** – (Optional) If a closer look is desired, retrieve Item Analysis Report (.csv file). Note: Terminology is highly technical and numeric presentation styles differ from dashboard (e.g. ratios used, not percentages).





Discussion

- This method works well for a relatively small number of quiz questions.
- For a large question bank or a long test, may be best to start with the .cvs file
- Many quick reference guides assume knowledge of MCQ analysis measures.
- Search education literature for concepts and guidelines (e.g., what scores indicate poor/acceptable/good/excellent MCQs.)
- Are the indices meaningful for True/False questions?
- Indices flag potentially problematic questions – use your judgement about whether changes are needed.

References

Muhaissen, S., Ratka, A., Akour, A. AlKhatib, H. (2019) Quantitative analysis of single best answer multiple choice in pharmaceutics. Currents in Teaching and Learning 11, 251-257.